


Q1 On a scale of 1-10, please indicate your level of support for code regulations that lower or create solar-specific setbacks for residential scale solar (1= Do not support / 10= Fully Support)


Answered: 57 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	AVERAGE NUMBER	TOTAL NUMBER	RESPONSES
	69	3,907	57
Total Respondents: 57			

Q2 Please indicate your opinion of how residential solar standards should be governed and reviewed.


Answered: 59 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I believe only administrative solar standards should govern residential solar installation.	16.95%	10
I believe administrative solar standards should exist, with public hearings if standards are to be modified.	40.68%	24
Neutral	18.64%	11
I believe there should be residential solar standards, but they should be reviewed through a public hearing process.	10.17%	6
I believe residential solar should be reviewed on a site and merit-dependent basis through a public hearing process, without specific standards.	13.56%	8
TOTAL		59

Q3 Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement:
 Routt County should encourage the use of renewable energy through the
 facilitation of utility solar, understanding that there may be tradeoffs for
 preserving agricultural uses and wildlife habitats.


Answered: 55 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I completely agree that solar facilities should always be encouraged.	16.36%	9
I mostly agree that solar facilities should be encouraged.	45.45%	25
Neutral	18.18%	10
I mostly disagree that solar facilities should be encouraged.	16.36%	9
I completely disagree that solar facilities should ever be encouraged.	3.64%	2
TOTAL		55

Q4 Do you agree that code regulations should support the reuse of former coal or other fossil fuel facilities or infrastructure to aid a transition to lower-carbon and renewable energy? Note that if they are not reused, they will be deconstructed entirely.


Answered: 54 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I completely agree reuse should be supported.	46.30%	25
I mostly agree that reuse should be supported.	35.19%	19
Neutral	5.56%	3
I mostly disagree that reuse should be supported and instead they should be deconstructed.	7.41%	4
I completely disagree that reuse should be supported and instead they should be deconstructed.	5.56%	3
TOTAL		54

Q5 Which potential impact of solar energy are you most concerned with? (Please select up to three)

Answered: 56 Skipped: 6




Routt County Module #1 Survey

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
None of the above	5.36%	3
Impacts to views	46.43%	26
Impacts to adjacent properties	35.71%	20
Wildlife impacts	67.86%	38
Safety impacts	7.14%	4
Impacts to historic properties	19.64%	11
Impacts to agricultural production and viability	32.14%	18
Impacts to agricultural/ranch grazing leases	21.43%	12
Impacts to local tax base	10.71%	6
I'm not sure/need more information.	12.50%	7
Other (please specify)	12.50%	7
Total Respondents: 56		

Q6 In your opinion, what is the most important consideration for right-sizing growth or development outside of municipal boundaries? (Please select up to three)


Answered: 50 Skipped: 12



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
None of the above	6.00%	3
Developing standards identical to adjacent municipalities.	14.00%	7
Restricting uses that may permanently limit the feasibility of future annexation (e.g. gravel pits/mining, small lot development).	40.00%	20
Restricting modifications of properties that may remove features desired by annexing municipalities (e.g. waterbodies, tree groves, etc).	44.00%	22
Restricting subdivision to preserve larger pieces of land for annexation.	20.00%	10
Securing water rights prior to annexation.	62.00%	31
Requiring connection to available water and sewer systems.	44.00%	22
Other (please specify)	8.00%	4
Total Respondents: 50		

Q7 The Stagecoach area was also identified as a Tier 2 Growth Area in the Master Plan, and additional growth and development is anticipated in the Stagecoach Community Plan. Due to the existing growth and development that is proposed in the Stagecoach area, the County is exploring developing a Tier 2 overlay for Stagecoach, similar to those mentioned above. This overlay is intended to provide standards to support strategically planned development in Stagecoach. The overlay will provide guidance on site planning for residential, industrial and commercial properties (frontages, lot areas, height, setbacks) and permitted uses. In your opinion, what is the most important consideration for development in Stagecoach? (Please select up to three)

Answered: 50 Skipped: 12



Routt County Module #1 Survey

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
None of the above	0.00%	0
Availability of Utilities (Water, sewer, etc.)	84.00%	42
Increasing density to support additional services and continue the original Stagecoach vision	34.00%	17
Preserve existing density to minimize changes to services, traffic, scenery	38.00%	19
Lot Size (Minimum lot areas for residential, commercial and industrial properties)	16.00%	8
Lot Frontages (Minimum distance of lot frontage)	2.00%	1
Lot Setbacks (Front, side and rear setbacks)	4.00%	2
Permitted Uses	18.00%	9
Preserving contiguous land for orderly annexation.	14.00%	7
Restricting subdivision to preserve larger pieces of land for annexation.	8.00%	4
Other (please specify)	16.00%	8
Total Respondents: 50		